





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,614	08/27/2001	Hiroshi Kageyama	A8319.0004/P004	2342	
24998	7590 08/26/2004		EXAM	INER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			SHAPIRO, LEONID		
2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2673	15	
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





## UNITED TES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

APPRICANT ATTORNEY DOORS IND.  1	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		
EXAMER  LE ON! D SHAP! FO  ART UNIT  PAPER NUMBER  2673 15  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Michael Weinstein (3) SHANKAR Vigary  (2) Leand SHAPILIV (4)  Date of Interview O8.19.04  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to papilicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Mo If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. was not reached.  Datarify, discussed: 1-4, 9-12  dentification of prior art discussed: Proceduring, Arighna et al, Minami et al escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the control of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the control of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the control of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the control of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the control of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the control of the interview.  But the following proper interview of the interview.  But the following proper interview of the control of the interview.  But the following proper interview of the control	09/028 000		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
EXAMPLES  LE ON! D SHAPLED  ART UNIT PAPERNUMBER  2 6 7 3 15  DATE MAILED:  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1)	47/356619	00/27/01	H. Kazeyama	
LE ONI D SHAPI FO  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2673 15  DATE MAILED:  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Michael Weinstein (3) SHANKAR Vigay  (2) Leonid SHAPILN (4)  Date of Interview C8.19.04  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conterence Personal (copy is given to papilicant Dapplicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description.  Adjusted to the general nature of what was not reached.  Haim(s) discussed: 1-4, 9-12  Hentification of prior art discussed: Proebsting, Arigha et al, Miname et au escription of the general nature of what was agreed to If an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed and Learned			0 0	A 8319.000 9
LEONID SHAPITO  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2673 15  DATE MAILED:  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Michael Weintlein (3) SHANKAR Vigay  (2) Leonid SHAPIEN (4)  Date of Interview C8.19.04  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to papilicant papilicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description.  Agreement was reached was not reached.  Dainin(s) discussed: 1-4,9-12  dentification of prior art discussed: Proebsting, Arighna et al, Minamu et ac escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed hereful and the comments of the comments. Claiming the comments of the comments. Claiming the comments of the comment				EVAMME
INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1)		·		
INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1)				
INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Michael Weinstein (3) SHANKAR Vigay  (2) Leanis SHANKA (4)  Date of Interview C8.19.04  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to papilicant Depolicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Mo If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: Ir 4, 9-12  Identification of prior art discussed: Probability, Arryan et al, Minanus et au escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in advance of the claims allowable in the claims.  All proposed in the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the claims.  All proposed in the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the claims.  All proposed in the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the claims.  All proposed in the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the claims.  All proposed in the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the claims allowable is a beat ached. Also, where no copy of the amendments if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is a beat ached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be chiefled. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be chiefled. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be chiefled. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be chiefled. Also, where no copy o			L	TAPER NUMBER
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Michael Weintlein (3) SHANFAR Vigary  (2) Leanid SHAPILIV (4)  Date of InterviewC8.19.04  Type:   Telephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   Deplicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes   Tho   If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached.   was not reached.  Haim(s) discussed:   1-4, 9-12    Identification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entification of prior art discussed:   Proebsting   Arrival et al.   Miname et al.  All entificat			21	13
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Michael Weinstein (3) SHANFAR Vyary  (2) Leonid SHAPILM (4)  Date of Interview 07.19.04  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conterence Personal (copy is given to papilicant Dapplicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Stho If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. was not reached.  Date of Interview 07.19.04  Adjusted of Date of Interview 07.19.04  Action of prior art discussed: Proceduring Arighma et al, Miname et al description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed was description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed was descripted.  Actually 9-12 were dissipated in Miname description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable stoed attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summany thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summany thereof must be content. Was a proposed to the content of the interview.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  OTHER ASTATEMENT OF THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE INTERVIEW.	•	INTERV	TEW SUMMARY	MAILED:
(1) Michael Weinstein (3) SHANKAR Vigary  (2) Leonid SHAPIEN (4)  Date of Interview C8.19.04  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Deposition: Depos	All participants (applicant, applicant)			
Date of Interview	(1) Michael 1	representative, PTO personne	I):	
Date of Interview	" Trucker	Teinstein	(3) SHANKAR	1/400
Date of Interview C8.19.04  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Dapplicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. Was not reached.  Datentification of prior art discussed: Proclarking, Arighna et al, Miname et al dentification of prior art discussed: Proclarking, Arighna et al, Miname et al dentification of prior art discussed: Proclarking, Arighna et al, Miname et al dentification of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prophosed escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prophosed in description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prophosed in description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prophosed in description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is a validable, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be checked. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be checked. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be also be altered. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be also be altered. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof	CI	120		o y ay
Agreement was reached. was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 9-12  Identification of prior art discussed: Proceduring, Arigina et al, Minami et al description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the substance of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the substance of the claims allowable in a summary thereof must be a stached. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be a stached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be clothed.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Sess the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	Date of Interview <u>C8.19.00</u>	4		
Agreement was reached. was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 9-12  Identification of prior art discussed: Proceduring, Arigina et al, Minami et al description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the substance of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the substance of the claims allowable in a summary thereof must be a stached. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be a stached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be clothed.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Sess the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	Type: Telephonic Televides of		_	
Agreement was reached. was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 9-12  Identification of prior art discussed: Proceduring, Arigina et al, Minami et al description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the substance of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in the substance of the claims allowable in a summary thereof must be a stached. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be a stached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be clothed.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Sess the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	Explicit at a	onierence	is given to applicant Mannlican	nt's representation
Agreement was reached. was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 9-12  Identification of prior art discussed: Probbiting, Arigina et al, Minami et ac escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable to the adaptated. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be cheed.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Less the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office SISTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	Exhibit shown or demonstration condu	cted: Yes Who If yes b	rief description	is representative).
dentification of prior art discussed: Procesting, Arigina et al, Minami et au dentification of prior art discussed: Procesting, Arigina et al, Minami et au dentification of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed description of the general nature of what was agreed to include the claims allowable in according to the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  The paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	Agreement  was reached  was			
dentification of prior art discussed: Probleticing, Arighna et al, Minanu et au escription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed Amendments to claims 1-4, 9-12 were disscused in detailes. Clarification was recommended by examination was recommended by examination was recommended by examination with the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be acted.)  If it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Sets the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE INTERVIEW.				
detailes. Clarification was reached, or any other comments: Proposed detailes. Clarification was recommented by examiliation was recommended by examiliation was to attack the description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	discussed: 7-4, 9	-/ 2		
detailes. Clarification was reached, or any other comments: Proposed in detailes. Clarification was recommented by examine Meur Scarification was recommended by examine Meur Scarification was recommended by examine Meur Scarification was recommended by examine the detailed of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable at the attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office BSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	dentification of prior art discussed:	vocosting,	Axista - a at al	1/1:
detailes. Clarification was recomended by examine New Scarch will be done.  Men scarch will be done.  fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  The paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE  SSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.			god er al	, mani et al
detailes. Clarification was recomended by examine New Scarch will be done.  Men Scarch will be done.  fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  The paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE  SSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	escription of the general nature of who	it was same to the		
detailes. Clarification was recomended by examine New Scarch will be done.  Men Scarch will be done.  fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  The paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE  SSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	Anendrende	was agreed to if an agreemen	nt was reached, or any other comments	Proposed
Went Scarific wolf be Jone.  fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable as the attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  The paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office asstance of the Interview DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	dota is a	- co ceans	1-4,9-12 were	discount !
fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  The paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ON WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office asstance of the interview DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	ouraires. Ela			1. 1 D
fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be less the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ON THE WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office asstance of the interview DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	New scar	ch will	i. I	sed by examin
fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Sets the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on has are ready been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office actions are ready been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE		will	be done.	<del>-</del>
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  ess the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ON THE PROPERTY OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office asstance of the interview DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	. (		* -	- W. P. C.
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  ess the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ON THE PROPERTY OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office asstance of the interview DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	tuller description, if necessary, and a cust be attached. Also, where are	opy of the amendments, if ava-	ilable which the	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  ess the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION ON THE PROPERTY OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office asstance of the interview date to FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	ached.)	the amendments which would	render the claims allowable is available	ld render the claims allowable
NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action as are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE INTERVIEW.	It is not necessary for applicant to r	Provide a consert-	is availat	e, a summary thereof must be
THE INTERVIEW.  THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	ess the paragraph above has been ch	ecked to indicate	substance of the interview.	
THE INTERVIEW.  THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	On has are ready been filed. Apply to	HE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	y. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO T	HE LAST OFFICE ACTION
THE	BSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	NT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE	If a reply to the last Office
miner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form		<i>L</i>	1 11	A STATEMENT OF THE
	miner Note: You must sign this form un	less it is an attachment to another	her form	